



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,969	09/22/2003	Katsumi Abe	Q75817	4962	
23373 7590 04/25/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			PHAM, TAMMY T		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
		٠	<i>(</i>	\$1	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/664,969	ABE, KATSUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit	,	
Tammy Pham	2629		

	Tammy Pham	2629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be with 37 CFR 1.114.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. M The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered by	ecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	porroonanding number of finally rei	acted alaima						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inchantent	(1 102-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,16-21,27 and 28.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-15, 22-26</u> .		•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ⊠ Other: Replacement drawing of 4/2/07 is accepted.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The IDS of 9/22/2003 has been considered and initialized. All references cited in the IDS filed on 6/15/2006 and 4/2/2007 are crossed out because they were all cited in IDS filed 9/22/2003.

Due to the amendments of claims and drawings of 4/2/2007; arguments pertaining to newly amended independent claim 1 and its dependent will not be considered since the changes necessitates further search and consideration. Arg. 19.

Applicant alleges that Kumada (US Patent Application No: 2002/0008686 A1) does not provide motivation or reason to modify the placement of the common drive circuit (Kumada: Fig. 2, item 10); please look to section [0020] where Kumada states that reducing the power supply is one of the objective of the invention. It is common knowledge that power is dependent on resistance. Resistance increases with an increase of wire length. Hence, it would be well known to one with ordinary skills in the art to recognize that in decreasing the wire, one will decrease the resistance and hence decrease the power. In conclusion, the argument is not persuasive. Arg.

JAMY NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

0K To enter.

Appl. No. 10/664,969
Docket No. Q75817
Amdt. Dated April 2, 2007
Reply to Office action of February 2, 2007
Replacement Sheet 1 of 1

FIG.7

